

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,283	06/14/2001 Xiaopeng Chen		020945-001510US	7871
20350	20350 7590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			TORRES, JOSEPH D	
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2133	Б.
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		h
cation No.	Applicant(s)	
2,283	CHEN ET AL.	
iner	Art Unit	
h D. Torres	2133	,
the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress
T TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(	S) FROM	
no event, however, may a reply be tim	nely filed	
e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONEI iis communication, even if timely filed	the mailing date of this on the mailing date of this of the control of the contro	
<u>2004</u> .		
is non-final.		
ept for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
consideration.		
requirement.		
r b)  objected to by the E (s) be held in abeyance. See quired if the drawing(s) is obj . Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	
under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
been received. been received in Application uments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not receive	ed in this National	Stage
4) X Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Asking Comments	09/882,283	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph D. Torres	2133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuary 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or example and the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. election requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	. 873				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) X Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2133

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to Soft Decision Information for Producing Reliability
  Information by Combining Soft Decision Information with Knowledge
  regarding a Finite State Machine, classified in class 714, subclass 780.
- Claims 2-32, drawn to Maximum Likelihood Decoding using Forward and Backward Metrics, classified in class 714, subclass 794.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I, Soft Decision Information for Producing Reliability Information by Combining Soft Decision Information with Knowledge regarding a Finite State Machine, and Group II, Maximum Likelihood Decoding using Forward and Backward Metrics, are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination, Group I, Soft Decision Information for Producing Reliability Information by Combining Soft Decision Information with Knowledge regarding a Finite State Machine, as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination, Group II, Maximum Likelihood Decoding using Forward and Backward Metrics, as claimed because the combination Group I has separate utility such as in a

any iterative decoding device such as a Viterbi decoder using the step of combining said input soft decision information with knowledge regarding said finite state machine. The subcombination has separate utility such as in maximum likelihood decoding using forward and backward metrics.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Kenneth Allen on 17 March 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (703) 308-7066. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business/Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph D Torres, PhD